

Two-dimensional bosonization from variable shifts in the path integral*

Jan B. Thomassen[†]

*Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Wien
A-1040 Vienna, Austria*

February 1, 2008

Abstract

A method to perform bosonization of a fermionic theory in $(1+1)$ dimensions in a path integral framework is developed. The method relies exclusively on the path integral property of allowing variable shifts, and does not depend on the explicit form of Greens functions. Two examples, the Schwinger model and the massless Thirring model, are worked out.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Kk; 11.15.Tk

Keywords: Bosonization; Two-dimensional field theory

It is possible to argue that the problem of deriving the chiral Lagrangian [1] from QCD is a bosonization problem. This motivates us to consider the simpler problem of bosonization in $(1+1)$ dimensions. This is of course a well known problem with a long history. A partial list of references is [2–14]. See also the book [15]. Unfortunately, these approaches to two-dimensional bosonization can either not handle more complicated cases, like massive fermions, or they can only do so by comparing explicit Greens functions in the fermionic and bosonic theories, respectively. This includes the so-called “path integral approaches”. Thus they are not generalizable to four dimensions where Greens functions can not in general be calculated. The need for a path integral bosonization method which only utilizes manipulations of the path integral itself is therefore apparent.

We find that a suitable starting point is the “smooth bosonization scheme” of ref. [11]. We refer the reader to that paper for details.

The generic problem which we consider is a massless fermion coupled to an external vector field V . More complicated cases like a massive fermion or non-Abelian bosonization are not studied in the present paper, nor is a possible generalization to four dimensions.

The partition function is given by

$$Z[V] = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \exp i \int d^2x \bar{\psi} [i\partial - \not{V}] \psi. \quad (1)$$

It is understood that the path integral is regularized in a vector current conserving way. Following ref. [11], we perform first the arbitrary local chiral change of variables

$$\psi(x) = e^{i\theta(x)\gamma_5} \chi(x), \quad \bar{\psi}(x) = \bar{\chi}(x) e^{i\theta(x)\gamma_5}. \quad (2)$$

*Supported by Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung, P11387-PHY

[†]E-mail: thomasse@kph.tuwien.ac.at

The Lagrangian then becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\chi}[i\partial - \partial\theta\gamma_5 - \mathcal{V}]\chi + \frac{1}{2\pi}\partial_\mu\theta\partial^\mu\theta + \frac{1}{\pi}\partial_\mu\theta\epsilon^{\mu\nu}V_\nu. \quad (3)$$

where the second and third terms is the contribution from the Jacobian.

Next, we path integrate the “chirally rotated” partition function over $\theta(x)$. Since we have only changed integration variables Z doesn't depend on θ . We can therefore perform this integration without changing anything if we at the same time divide with the (infinite) volume $\mathcal{N} = \int \mathcal{D}\theta$ of the θ function space.

In ref. [11] it is argued that we are dealing with a gauge theory, invariant under the local transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(x) &\rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)\gamma_5}\chi(x), & \bar{\chi}(x) &\rightarrow \bar{\chi}(x)e^{i\alpha(x)\gamma_5}, \\ \theta(x) &\rightarrow \theta(x) - \alpha(x). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

However, we are not dealing with a gauge theory in the usual sense: It is necessary to include the transformation of the integration measure to get an invariant path integral. That is, the action itself is not gauge invariant. For this reason gauge fixing is not necessary, and we therefore leave the enlarged path integral intact (more on this later). Thus, from this point on our procedure will differ from that of ref. [11].

We propose now to take advantage of having a bosonic path integration variable at our disposal, in addition to the fermionic one. First, let us note that we can immediately get back the original path integral by applying the inverse rotation

$$\chi = e^{-i\theta\gamma_5}\psi, \quad \bar{\chi} = \bar{\psi}e^{-i\theta\gamma_5} \quad (5)$$

to the fermion. But we can also ask if there is a *bosonic* change of variables which decouples the fermion from θ and the external field V_μ :

$$\theta = \theta(\phi, \bar{\chi}, \chi). \quad (6)$$

One simple case of such a bosonic variable change is the shift

$$\theta \rightarrow \theta + f(\bar{\chi}, \chi), \quad (7)$$

where f is a function that only depends on the fermions. We shall see that a function f with the desired properties can be found.

Under the shift (7), the Lagrangian goes into

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}' &= \bar{\chi}[i\partial - \partial\theta\gamma_5 - \partial f\gamma_5 - \mathcal{V}]\chi \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi}\partial_\mu\theta\partial^\mu\theta + \frac{1}{\pi}\partial_\mu\theta\partial^\mu f + \frac{1}{2\pi}\partial_\mu f\partial^\mu f \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi}\partial_\mu\theta\epsilon^{\mu\nu}V_\nu + \frac{1}{\pi}\partial_\mu f\epsilon^{\mu\nu}V_\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The requirement for θ to decouple from the fermion is

$$-\partial_\mu\theta(\bar{\chi}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\chi) + \frac{1}{\pi}\partial_\mu\theta\partial^\mu f = 0, \quad (9)$$

whose solution is

$$f = \frac{\pi}{\square}\partial_\mu(\bar{\chi}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\chi) = \frac{\pi}{\square}\partial_\mu j_5^\mu. \quad (10)$$

With this value of f , the Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L}' = \bar{\chi} i \not{\partial} \chi - \frac{1}{2} \pi j_5^\mu \frac{\partial_\mu \partial_\nu}{\square} j_5^\nu + \frac{1}{2\pi} \partial_\mu \theta \partial^\mu \theta + \frac{1}{\pi} \partial_\mu \theta \epsilon^{\mu\nu} V_\nu. \quad (11)$$

(Here we have used that V_μ can be chosen to be a curl, $V_\mu = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu \beta$, without loss of generality.) As promised, the fermion is now completely decoupled and V_μ couples only to θ .

Note, incidentally, that what we have done is to perform a diagonalization of the Lagrangian (3). Since we still only have normal fermionic and bosonic kinetic terms, this means that the propagator matrix has only trivial zero modes. This demonstrates that there is no need for gauge fixing.

In order to demonstrate that this procedure corresponds, when applicable, to known results, we give two examples.

i) The Schwinger model

We set $V_\mu = e A_\mu$ and choose Lorentz gauge, $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$ (this is trivially satisfied for A_μ a curl: $A_\mu = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu \beta$). In this case the integration over θ should be explicitly carried out.

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \exp i \int d^2x \left(-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \bar{\psi} [i \not{\partial} - e A] \psi \right) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}\theta \exp i \int d^2x \left(-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \partial_\mu \theta \partial^\mu \theta + \frac{e}{\pi} \partial_\mu \theta \epsilon^{\mu\nu} A_\nu \right) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}A \exp i \int d^2x \left(-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{e^2}{2\pi} A^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

This is the usual result [3], with a “photon” mass $m = e/\sqrt{\pi}$.

ii) The massless Thirring model

We will in this case derive the current bosonization rule. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Z[V] &= \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \exp i \int d^2x \left(\bar{\psi} [i \not{\partial} - \not{V}] \psi - \frac{1}{2} g j_\mu j^\mu \right) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}B \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \exp i \int d^2x \left(\bar{\psi} [i \not{\partial} - \not{V} - \not{B}] \psi + \frac{1}{2g} B^2 \right) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}B \mathcal{D}\theta \exp i \int d^2x \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \partial_\mu \theta \partial^\mu \theta - \frac{1}{\pi} (V_\mu + B_\mu) \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \theta + \frac{1}{2g} B^2 \right) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \exp i \int d^2x \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi + \frac{\beta}{2\pi} V_\mu \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \varphi \right), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where we have rescaled θ ,

$$\varphi = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi} \left(1 + \frac{g}{\pi} \right)} \theta, \quad (14)$$

and introduced the parameter β by

$$\frac{4\pi}{\beta^2} = 1 + \frac{g}{\pi}. \quad (15)$$

The standard bosonization rule

$$\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi = -\frac{\beta}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \varphi \quad (16)$$

can now be verified by reading off how V_μ couples to the fermion ψ and the boson φ , respectively.

Finally, we will offer some speculation on possible generalizations of this scheme. It is clear that we should be able to treat more complicated cases, such as the massive case or the non-Abelian case, before we could claim having a genuine path integral bosonization procedure. Let us note that we have not exploited all the degrees of freedom of the fermion. We have for example, the scale degree of freedom. Consider a massive fermion for definiteness. A finite local scale transformation of the fermion will produce a Jacobian and also couplings to the bosonic “dilaton field”. Perhaps it is possible to find shifts of this dilaton in combination with shifts of θ that will provide a decoupling of the fermion also in this massive case. If so, integrating out the dilaton would produce a bosonic theory depending only on θ .

Acknowledgments I would like to thank M. Faber, A.N. Ivanov and P.H. Damgaard for discussions and comments on the manuscript. I also thank H.B. Nielsen for “selling me some of his ideas” and thereby introducing me to the subject.

References

- [1] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. 158 (1984) 142; Nucl. Phys. B250 (1985) 465
- [2] S. Coleman, Phys. Rev. D11 (1975) 2088
- [3] R. Roskies and F.A. Schaposnik, Phys. Rev. D23 (1981) 558
- [4] K. Furuya, R.E. Gamboa Saraví and F.A. Schaposnik, Nucl. Phys. B208 (1982) 159
- [5] A.V. Kulikov, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 54 (1983) 314 [Theor. Math. Phys. 54 (1983) 205]
- [6] R.R. Gamboa Saraví, M.A. Muschietti, F.A. Schaposnik and J.E. Solomin, Ann. Phys. 157 (1984) 360
- [7] M.I. Eides, Phys. Lett. 153B (1985) 157
- [8] C.M. Naon, Phys. Rev. D31 (1985) 2035
- [9] L.C.L. Botelho, Phys. Rev. D33 (1986) 1195
- [10] H. Dorn, Phys. Lett. 167B (1986) 86
- [11] P.H. Damgaard, H.B. Nielsen and R. Sollacher, Nucl. Phys. B385 (1992) 227; Phys. Lett. B296 (1992) 132
- [12] C.P. Burgess and F. Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. B421 (1994) 373
- [13] K.-I. Kondo, Prog. Theor. Phys. 94 (1995) 899
- [14] R. Banerjee, Nucl. Phys. B465 (1996) 157
- [15] E. Abdalla, M.C.B. Abdalla and K.D. Rothe, *Non-perturbative methods in two-dimensional quantum field theory*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1991